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## Maxwell's equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} & =0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} & =\rho \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

Magnetic Gauss's law
Faraday's law
Gauss's law
Ampere's law

Newtons Laws of Motion

$$
\mathbf{F}=\mathrm{m} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}
$$

Newtons Second law
$\square$ With $E$ and $H$ being the electric and magnetic fields; D and $B$ being the displacement field and magnetic flux density

- And F, m and $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ being force, mass, and acceleration
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## Maxwell's equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} & =0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} & =\rho \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}+\mathbf{J}
\end{aligned}
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Magnetic Gauss's law
Faraday's law
Gauss's law
Ampere's law

Newtons Laws of Motion

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline \mathbf{F}=\mathrm{m} \ddot{\mathbf{x}} & \text { Newtons Second law } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- With $E$ and $H$ being the electric and magnetic fields; D and $B$ being the displacement field and magnetic flux density
- And F, m and $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ being force, mass, and acceleration


## Material Laws

■ Assume a constant permittivity, i.e. $\mathbf{D}=\epsilon \mathrm{E}$; and

- A non-linear permeability, $\mathbf{B}=\mu(t) \mathrm{H}$, i.e. by a given non-linear B-H Curve


## Gauge Fields

■ Re-write Maxwell's equations in terms of gauge fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{~A}-\nabla \phi, \\
& \mathrm{B}=\nabla \times \mathbf{A},
\end{aligned}
$$

- Where A is the Vector potential and $\phi$ is the scalar potential
$\square$ Fix gauge degrees of freedom, thus apply, e.g. Coulomb's gauge $\nabla \mathrm{A}=0$ or temporal gauge $\phi=0$


## Magnetodynamic Approximation

$\square$ Assume slowly varying electric Fields, i.e. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{E}=0$
■ Split Ohms Law, i.e. $\mathrm{J}=\sigma \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{J}_{s}$ where $\mathrm{J}_{s}$ is on Inductors

$$
\nabla \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}+\sigma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{A}+\nabla \phi\right)=\mathbf{J}_{s}
$$

## Approximation

- Approximate the Vector potential and the Scalar potential

$$
\mathrm{A} \approx \sum_{p=0}^{P} \mathrm{a}^{p} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi \approx \sum_{p=0}^{P} \mathrm{v}^{p}
$$

Testing

- Perform a Galerkin testing with continuous test functions $\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{P}\left(\mathrm{a}^{q}, \mathrm{v}^{q}\right)$
- For the sake of brevety we ommit the sums and write ( $a, v ; a^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ ) for the whole approximation and testing sets
- With this the formulation reads

$$
\left(\nabla \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{a}+\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{a}\right) \cdot \mathbf{a}^{\prime}+(\sigma \nabla \mathrm{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathrm{v}^{\prime}=\mathrm{J}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{a}^{\prime}
$$

- Here, we employed that $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}=\nabla \mathrm{v}^{\prime}$
- In the next step we integrate the above equation cell-wise and perform Stokes Theorem (assume cell wise continuous functions)

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{a}, \nabla \times \mathbf{a}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\sigma \nabla \mathrm{v}, \nabla \mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)_{\Omega_{s}}=\left(\mathrm{J}_{s}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)_{\Omega_{s}}
$$

- This formulation is the basis for a GetDP simulation

■ The General Motion solver of MAGNETICS allows to couple translatory or rotational rigid body motions with an EM FEM simulation

- Coupling is done via Newtons Law, with Forces steaming from the Lorentz Force or the Reluctance Force


## Enforced Motion

■ In Enforced Motion simulations the moving part / object is externaly driven (Generator Mode)

- Then, the resulting fields are calculated

Dynamic Motion

- In General Motion the moving part / object is driven by calculated fields (Motor Mode)
- For the CAD geometry creation and for the meshing we used Siemens SC / NX
- For the electromagnetic simulation we use MAGNETICS for SC
- For the electro- mechanical coupling we use GM
- Both MAGNETICS and GM are fully integrated in the Siemens framework



## Setup of the Actuator II: Coil

- We use a stranded coil, i.e. the EM fields from the coil are precomputed
- Here, the stranded coil is simulated with 185 turns and a fillfactor of 1

■ As employed material we choose copper

- The turn direction is clockwise
- We apply a constant current of 3A


## Setup of the Actuator III: Core

- As core material we use a special pre-glowed magnet material (with certain similarities to steel) that serves as a flux amplifyer
- Said magnet material exhibits a complicated non-linear B-H curve
- Moreover, it is temperature dependent in general; but we assume a pre-glowed state here



## Setup of the Actuator IV: Stopper

- In addition we simulate an additional Stopper that is between the magnet and the moving anchor
- The stopper is also made of the same non-linear magnet material in order to guide the magnetic flux
- As a result of the stoppers form the coil/magnet/stopper assembly acts like a horseshoe magnet



## Setup of the Actuator V: Anchor

- The anchor is the actual moving part
- It is also made of the same non-linear material as core and stopper
- The total weight is 37 grams
- We expect the anchor to be attracted until the stopper is reached
- We can approximate the
 time-scale in the ms region
- In addition an a surrounding air volume is simulated
- At the boundaries we employ flux tangent conditions, i.e. $\mathrm{A}=0$
- Since we use an Electrical-Mechanical coupled simulation the air is remeshed after each timestep
- To this end an solid from
 shell mesh is used
$\square$ The meshing is completely done in SC / NX
- 3D tetrahedral meshes are used on the inner bodies
- Triangular surface coat meshes are added on all surfaces of said bodies
- A 2D triangular mesh is used on the air boundary
- The air is then meshed with a 3D tetrahedral solid from shell mesh


## Dynamic Simulation of an Actuator



## Force Plots I: Enforced Motion

- We obtain a huge difference between 2D and 3D FEM

- Simulations agree with measurements



## Thank You!

## Our special thank goes to:

Daimler AG: For allowing us to use and show this model GetDP Team: For providing the underlying Solver Siemens AG: For letting us implement MAGNETICS into the SC / NX system

